

## ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

**COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKETING

08/770,381

12/03/96

KESSLER

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

74508NAB

**EXAMINER** 

001333 PATENT LEGAL STAFF EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER NY 14650-2201 WM02/1218

MIL SON **ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED:

12/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

hppilicant(s)

08/770,381

**Jacqueline Wilson** 

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Froup Art Uni **2712** 

Kessler et al.



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) X expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Nov 20, 2000</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effective but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	÷t,
☆ The proposed amendment(s):	
🜠 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	<b>.</b> I.
	_
	<u> </u>
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp, Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.     </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>⚠ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr. '193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <ul> <li>The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>★ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         <ul> <li>Claims allowed:</li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         Claims allowed:</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <ul> <li>The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>★ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         <ul> <li>Claims allowed:</li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         Claims allowed:</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.     </li> <li>✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         Claims allowed:         Claims objected to: 13         Claims rejected: 1, 4, 5, 10-12, 15, 17, and 18     </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <ul> <li>The combination of the prior art Greivenkamp. Jr.'193 and Fukushima '420 is still able to read on the claimed limitation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	



Creation date: 08-04-2004

Indexing Officer: TTRAN24 - THANH TRAN

Team: OIPEScanning Dossier: 08770381

Legal Date: 01-24-2001

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	AP.B	17

Total number of pages: 17

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on .....